



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. F		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5681		
09/502,791 02/1		02/11/2000	Yoshinobu Nakamura	1046.1210/JDH			
21171	7590	11/20/2002					
STAAS & 1			EXAMINER				
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20001				SHRADER, L.	SHRADER, LAWRENCE J		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2124			
				DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Gi

		Application N).	Applicant(s)	<u>- gi-</u>				
	_	09/502,791		NAKAMURA, YOSHINOBU					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
•	•	Lawrence Shr	ader	2124					
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap				dress				
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
1)∐ 2a)∐	·	——· his action is nor	-final.						
3)□	,			rosecution as to th	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
•	on of Claims	4							
•	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the application of the second state of the second stat		oration						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn iroin consid	eration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 7</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requ	rement						
	on Papers	or election requ	rement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
l .	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 2. 6)	Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/502,791

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "24" and "32" have both been used on the following pages to designate the Label Table of Figure 1: page 10, line 21; page 12, lines 1 and 17. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to because the Label Table is referred to in the plural on the following lines: page 10, line 21; page 12, lines 1 and 17. There appears to be only one label table in the architecture of the device shown on Figure 1. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show reference sign "24" in Figure 1 as described in the specification on page 7, line 8. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "28" has been used on page 8, line 8 to designate both source code of Figure 2 and the compiled code of Figure 3. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are

Application/Control Number: 09/502,791

Art Unit: 2124

required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - 1. On page 6, line 4 the abbreviations MO and PD should be explicitly defined. It can be inferred that "MO" refers to magneto-optic, however, it is not clear to what "PD" refers.
 - 2. The sentence beginning on page 8, line 8 should be clarified. Either reference sign "28" should be "30", or "Figure 3" should be "Figure 2."

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamura,
 Japanese Patent No. JP405100864A.

Referring to claim 1, Nakamura discloses a method whereby a judgment (or a decision) is made concerning a label during the processing of a program (Abstract). If a label is encountered during assembly the flow of the program is altered, much like an exception, to obtain the

Application/Control Number: 09/502,791

Art Unit: 2124

physical address. Then the physical address, obtained from a table, is written in to the label part of the address.

Referring to claim 2, Nakamura discloses the use of a table, which shows the relationship between the label and the address (Abstract).

Referring to claim 3, Nakamura teaches that a decision unit determines (judges) that a label must be converted to a physical address (Abstract).

Referring to claims 4-6, this is the method of operating the translating device discussed in claims 1-3, and therefore rejected for the same reasons.

Referring to claim 7, rejected for the same reasons as put forth for claims 1 -3 above.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure:

Japanese Patent JP403246706A to Abe, teaching the use of device to convert a label to an address utilizing a table.

- U. S. Patent 5,907,708 to Hohensee et al., teaching the use of an exception handler to generate fix-up code in an instruction stream.
- U. S. Patent 5,375,213 to Toshiaki, teaching address translation by processing an exception with less overhead
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Shrader whose telephone number is (703) 305-8046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 08:00 17:30.

Art Unit: 2124

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Lawrence J. Shrader Examiner Art Unit 2124

November 8, 2002

yarah ma

Page 5

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100